

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/589,153	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VAN DEN AKER, MARTINUS CORNELIUS	
	<b>Examiner</b> THOMAS A. MORRISON	<b>Art Unit</b> 3653	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) THOMAS A. MORRISON.

(2) Mr. Ryan Schneider (Reg. No. 45,083).

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 24 January 2011

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: n/a.

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*45-46*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Contacted applicant's representative as a courtesy to inform him that withdrawn claims 45-46 will be canceled by examiner's amendment. These claims were non-elected without traverse. Applicant's representative reaffirmed that cancelation of these claims is fine.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  

/Stefano Karmis/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)